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I confirm

I declare that: \*

I do not know the author's identity.

I know the author's identity and declare that there is no conflict of interest resulting from

 good practice in reviewing scientific papers between us.

1. Does the study constitute a new approach to the problem/ subject in:

 a) theoretical terms

 b) empirical terms

 c) application terms

 d) Other terms – what kind of?

Please briefly describe characterize the novelty/ originality of the study

2. Was the purpose of the study clearly stated?\*

YES

NO

3. Is the content of the study relevant to the purpose?\*

YES

NO

If no, please provide the critical remarks:

4. Was the declared goal achieved?\*

YES

NO

Comment:

5. Does the study relate to the current state of knowledge? \*

YES

NO

6. Are the literature used in the study:\*

Correctly chosen

Actual

 Properly used

 None of the above

7. Are the sources of information used in the study:\*

Correctly chosen

Actual

 Properly used

 None of the above

8. Does the study contain substantive errors?

YES

NO

If yes, what kind of?

9. Is the style and language of the study correct?

YES

NO

If not, please briefly describe the failures or defects:

 (style, language)

10. Does the summary reflect well the content and results/conclusions of the study?

YES

NO

If not, please briefly justify why:

11. Other comments of the reviewer:

If the reviewer would like to share other comments on the reviewed article or ‘Safe Bank’ itself with the Editorial Office, please place them below and provide along with the review.

AFTER REFERRING TO ALL QUESTIONS, PLEASE GIVE THE APPROPRIATE RECOMMENDATIONS

\*

I am asking for the acceptance of publication of the study

 I am asking that the study be corrected

 Should the corrected study be submitted to the reviewer for approval

 I request that the study be rejected